Agenda

www.oxford.gov.uk

OXFORD CITY COUNCIL

City Executive Board

Date: **Thursday 29 November 2018**

Time: **6.00 pm**

Place: **Plowman Room - Oxford Town Hall**

For any further information please contact:
John Mitchell, Committee and Member Services Officer
Telephone: 01865 252217
Email: executiveboard@oxford.gov.uk

Details of how City Councillors and members of the public may engage with this meeting may be found on page 6 of this agenda.

As a matter of courtesy, if you intend to record the meeting please let the Committee Services Officer know how you wish to do this before the start of the meeting.

City Executive Board

Membership

Chair/ Leader	Councillor Susan Brown	Leader of the Council, Board Member for Economic Development and Partnership
Vice Chair/ Deputy Leader	Councillor Linda Smith	Deputy Leader (Statutory), Board Member for Leisure and Housing
	Councillor Ed Turner	Deputy Leader, Board Member for Finance and Asset Management
	Councillor Nigel Chapman	Board Member for Customer Focused Services
	Councillor Mary Clarkson	Board Member for Culture and City Centre
	Councillor Tom Hayes	Board Member for Safer, Greener, Environment
	Councillor Alex Hollingsworth	Board Member for Planning and Transport
	Councillor Mike Rowley	Board Member for Housing
	Councillor Christine Simm	Board Member for Supporting Local Communities
	Councillor Louise Upton	Board Member for Healthy Oxford

The quorum for this meeting is three, substitutes are not allowed.

Future items to be discussed by the City Executive Board can be found on the Forward Plan which is available on the Council's website

Copies of this agenda

Reference copies are available to consult in the Town Hall Reception. Agendas are published 6 working days before the meeting and the draft minutes a few days after.

All agendas, reports and minutes are available online and can be:

- viewed on our website – mycouncil.oxford.gov.uk
- downloaded from our website
- viewed using the computers in the Customer Services, St Aldate's, or
- subscribed to electronically by registering online at mycouncil.oxford.gov.uk

AGENDA

PART ONE
PUBLIC BUSINESS

		Pages
1	**APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**	
2	**DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**	
3	**ADDRESSES AND QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC**	
4	**COUNCILLOR ADDRESSES ON ANY ITEM FOR DECISION ON THE BOARD'S AGENDA**	
5	**COUNCILLOR ADDRESSES ON NEIGHBOURHOOD ISSUES**	
6	**ITEMS RAISED BY BOARD MEMBERS**	
7	**CITY WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW - REVISED RECOMMENDATIONS**	7 - 26

Lead Member: Leader, Economic Development and Partnership (Councillor Susan Brown)

The Electoral Registration Officer has submitted a report to brief the City Executive Board on the ward boundary review and ask it to comment on the amended draft ward scheme as published by the Local Government Boundary Commission for England in November 2018.

Recommendations: That the City Executive Board resolves to:

Propose amendments to and comments on the new scheme of wards published by the Local Government Boundary Commission for England as follows:

1. the Council prefers the scheme as originally proposed and published by the Commission on 5th June;
2. the Council does not support the current scheme;
3. that, if the original scheme is not re-adopted, then it proposes that the two new wards of St. Mary's and St. Clement's in the Commission's current proposals be accepted with some minor amendments, with the boundaries for Cowley, Temple Cowley, Rose Hill & Iffley and Littlemore from the original scheme, with a small adjustment in the boundary between Cowley and Temple

Cowley wards to take account of concerns in the Florence Park area; and

4. a re-designed Donnington ward, running along the southern boundaries of the new St. Mary's and St. Clement's wards, from Weirs Lane in the west to Bartlemas Close in the east.

8 MINUTES 27 - 34

Recommendation: The City Executive Board resolved to APPROVE the minutes of the meeting held on 14 November 2018 as a true and accurate record.

9 DATES OF FUTURE MEETINGS

Meetings are scheduled for the following dates:

18 December 2018
22 January 2019
12 February 2019
13 March 2019
10 April 2019

All of which will start at 6pm.

DECLARING INTERESTS

General duty

You must declare any disclosable pecuniary interests when the meeting reaches the item on the agenda headed “Declarations of Interest” or as soon as it becomes apparent to you.

What is a disclosable pecuniary interest?

Disclosable pecuniary interests relate to your* employment; sponsorship (ie payment for expenses incurred by you in carrying out your duties as a councillor or towards your election expenses); contracts; land in the Council’s area; licences for land in the Council’s area; corporate tenancies; and securities. These declarations must be recorded in each councillor’s Register of Interests which is publicly available on the Council’s website.

Declaring an interest

Where any matter disclosed in your Register of Interests is being considered at a meeting, you must declare that you have an interest. You should also disclose the nature as well as the existence of the interest.

If you have a disclosable pecuniary interest, after having declared it at the meeting you must not participate in discussion or voting on the item and must withdraw from the meeting whilst the matter is discussed.

Member’s Code of Conduct and public perception

Even if you do not have a disclosable pecuniary interest in a matter, the Members’ Code of Conduct says that a member “must serve only the public interest and must never improperly confer an advantage or disadvantage on any person including yourself” and that “you must not place yourself in situations where your honesty and integrity may be questioned”. What this means is that the matter of interests must be viewed within the context of the Code as a whole and regard should continue to be paid to the perception of the public.

*Disclosable pecuniary interests that must be declared are not only those of the member her or himself but also those of the member’s spouse, civil partner or person they are living with as husband or wife or as if they were civil partners.

HOW OXFORD CITY COUNCILLORS AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC CAN ENGAGE AT THE CITY EXECUTIVE BOARD

Addresses and questions by members of the public, (15 minutes in total)

Members of the public can submit questions in writing about any item for decision at the meeting. Questions, stating the relevant agenda item, must be received by the Head of Law and Governance by 9.30am two clear working day before the meeting (eg for a Tuesday meeting, the deadline would be 9.30am on the Friday before). Questions can be submitted either by letter or by email (executiveboard@oxford.gov.uk).

Answers to the questions will be provided in writing at the meeting; supplementary questions will not be allowed. If it is not possible to provide an answer at the meeting it will be included in the minutes that are published on the Council's website within 2 working days of the meeting.

The Chair has discretion in exceptional circumstances to agree that a submitted question or related statement (dealing with matters that appear on the agenda) can be asked verbally at the meeting. In these cases, the question and/or address is limited to 3 minutes, and will be answered verbally by the Chair or another Board member or an officer of the Council. The text of any proposed address must be submitted within the same timescale as questions.

For this agenda item the Chair's decision is final.

Councillors speaking at meetings

Oxford City councillors may, when the chair agrees, address the Board on an item for decision on the agenda (other than on the minutes). The member seeking to make an address must notify the Head of Law and Governance by 9.30am at least one clear working day before the meeting, stating the relevant agenda items. An address may last for no more than three minutes. If an address is made, the Board member who has political responsibility for the item for decision may respond or the Board will have regard to the points raised in reaching its decision.

Councillors speaking on Neighbourhood issues (10 minutes in total)

Any City Councillor can raise local issues on behalf of communities directly with the Board. The member seeking to make an address must notify the Head of Law and Governance by 9.30am at least one clear working day before the meeting, giving outline details of the issue. Priority will be given to those members who have not already addressed the Board within the year and in the order received. Issues can only be raised once unless otherwise agreed by the Board. The Board's responsibility will be to hear the issue and respond at the meeting, if possible, or arrange a written response within 10 working days.

Items raised by Board members

Such items must be submitted within the same timescale as questions and will be for discussion only and not for a Board decision. Any item which requires a decision of the Board will be the subject of a report to a future meeting of the Board

www.oxford.gov.uk
OXFORD CITY COUNCIL

To:	**City Executive Board**
Date:	**Date of the meeting: 29 November 2018**
Report of:	**Electoral Registration Officer**
Title of Report:	**City Ward Boundary Review 2018**

	Summary and recommendations
Purpose of report:	To brief the City Executive Board on the ward boundary review and ask it to comment on the amended draft ward scheme as published by the Local Government Boundary Commission for England in November 2018.
Key decision:	Yes
Executive Board Member:	Councillor Susan Brown, Leader of the Council
Corporate Priority:	None
Policy Framework:	None

Recommendations: That the City Executive Board resolves to:

Propose amendments to and comments on the new scheme of wards published by the Local Government Boundary Commission for England as follows:

1. the Council prefers the scheme as originally proposed and published by the Commission on 5th June;
2. the Council does not support the current scheme;
3. that, if the original scheme is not re-adopted, then it proposes that the two new wards of St. Mary's and St. Clement's in the Commission's current proposals be accepted with some minor amendments, with the boundaries for Cowley, Temple Cowley, Rose Hill & Iffley and Littlemore from the original scheme, with a small adjustment in the boundary between Cowley and Temple Cowley wards to take account of concerns in the Florence Park area; and
4. a re-designed Donnington ward, running along the southern boundaries of the new St. Mary's and St. Clement's wards, from Weirs Lane in the west to Bartlemas Close in the east.

Appendix	
Appendix 1	Risk Register
Appendix 2	Letter from the Local Government Boundary Commission for England dated 6th November 2018.
Appendix 3	Spreadsheet showing the breakdown of the proposals, street-by-street

Introduction

1. At its meeting on 14th August 2018 the City Executive Board agreed to recommend a series of amendments to the draft ward scheme as published by the Local Government Boundary Commission at Stage Two of the review.
2. The Commission has now taken the unusual step of re-publishing part of its draft scheme, following submissions made during the Stage Two consultation period.

Stages of the review

3. The review is carried out under the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 and is split into six stages.
4. The revised timetable is shown below:

Stage	Timing	Function
Preliminary	June–December 2017	Now complete.
Stage One	9th Jan-2nd April 2018	The Commission published a council size it was minded to recommend and invited ward proposals based on that council size. Now complete.
Stage Two	5th June-13th August 2018	The Commission published a draft ward scheme for consultation.
Stage Two (extended)	6th November-4th December 2018	The Commission has published revisions to sevens wards and a further short consultation period.
Stage Three	5th February 2019	The Commission will publish its final recommendations.
Stage Four	February-May 2019	The Commission's draft order giving effect to its recommendations is laid in both houses of parliament. Parliament can either accept or reject the recommendations. It cannot modify them.
Stage Five	May 2020	The new electoral arrangements will come in to force.

5. The review is now in an extended Stage Two. The consultation ends on 4th December 2018.
6. The Commission has made various major amendments to its draft scheme. Attached as Appendix 2 is the letter from the Commission giving details of its proposed changes. It has acted after it received more than 150 representations to its draft scheme, none of which were supportive of it.
7. A significant number of submissions related to three issues: the proposals for Bartlemas, Donnington and St. Clements' wards; the proposed boundary in the Florence Park area between Cowley and Temple Cowley wards; and the proposal to rename St. Clement's ward as Bannister.
8. The Council's submission to Stage Two agreed that the boundary between Cowley and Temple Cowley wards should be amended and the Commission has accepted this.
9. Taking into account the submissions, the Commission has:
    - completely re-drawn the boundaries in the three wards in the St. Clement's/Cowley Road/Iffley Road triangle, with consequential changes to the wards below them – Rose Hill, Cowley, Temple Cowley and Littlemore;
    - moved Iffley village from the Rose Hill ward to a new Donnington ward;.
    - amended the boundary between the Cowley and Temple Cowley wards to take into account comments about the splitting up of streets that consider themselves as park of Florence Park area;
    - amended the boundary between Littlemore and Rose Hill wards.

Cross-party working group

10. As the Board knows a cross-party member working group has been set up in order to make recommendations. The Working Group is made up of members of all groups on the Council (Councillors Hollingsworth, Tanner, Simmons and Gotch). The Working Group met on 12th November 2018 and has made various suggested amendments to the Commission's draft scheme.
11. The Working Group felt the whole redesign of the three wards (St. Clement's, St. Mary's and Donnington & Iffley) was predicated on including Iffley village in Donnington & Iffley. The Working Group considered this proposal unacceptable. It felt that there are no links between Iffley and the rest of its new ward. Iffley has been linked with Rose Hill electorally for many decades and, over that time, many links have been developed between the two areas. Add from Ed Turner
12. Therefore the Working Group could not endorse the Commission's current proposals.
13. It proposes the following, that:

    a) the Council prefers the scheme as originally proposed and published by the Commission in June 2018;

    b) the Council does not support the current scheme;

c) that, if the original scheme is not re-adopted, then it proposes that the two new wards of St. Mary's and St. Clement's be accepted with some minor amendments, with the boundaries for Cowley, Temple Cowley, Rose Hill & Iffley and Littlemore from the original scheme, with a small adjustment in the boundary between Cowley and Temple Cowley wards to take account of concerns in the Florence Park area (as detailed in Appendix 3);

d) This will leave a re-designed Donnington ward, running along the southern boundaries of the new St. Mary's and St. Clement's wards, from Weirs Lane in the west to Bartlemas Close in the east (as detailed in Appendix 3);.

If agreed the ward figures are as follows:

New ward	No. of electors	Ave. no of electors	Variation (must be within+/- 10%)	Variance (number)
Cowley	5200	4834	107.57%	366
Donnington	4783	4834	98.95%	-51
Littlemore	4967	4834	102.75%	133
Rose Hill	4879	4834	100.93%	45
St Clements	4456	4834	92.18%	-378
St. Mary`s	5028	4834	104.02%	194
Temple Cowley	4453	4834	92.12%	-381

Further details on the allocations of streets to each wards are shown in Appendix 3.

Maps showing the schemes will be circulated nearer the time and at the meeting.

Financial implications

14. There are no financial implications.

Legal issues

15. There are no specific legal implications insofar as the Council is concerned as it is a consultee.

Level of risk

A completed Risk Register is attached at Appendix 1.

Report author	Martin John
Job title	Electoral Services Manager
Service area or department	Law and Governance
Telephone	01865 252518
e-mail	mjohn@oxford.gov.uk

Background Papers: None

Appendix 1: Risk Register

Title	Risk description	Opp/ threat	Cause	Consequence	Date Raised	Owner	Gross		Current		Residual		Comments	Controls				
							I	P	I	P	I	P		Control description	Due date	Status	Progress %	Action Owner
City Ward Boundary Review 2018	If the agreed scheme is inaccurately implemented by the Council	Threat	Implementation of the agreed scheme	Reputational damage, possible court action	27/10/17	Martin John	3	1	0	0	3	1		Ensure, when the changes are made in the elections management system, that they are checked by at least two different people	Jan 2020	Ongoing	80%	Anita Bradley

This page is intentionally left blank

The Local Government Boundary Commission for England

Mr Gordon Mitchell
Oxford City Council
Town Hall
St Aldate's
Oxford
OX1 1BX

6 November 2018

Dear Mr Mitchell,

ELECTORAL REVIEW OF OXFORD: FURTHER LIMITED CONSULTATION FOR SOUTH EAST OXFORD

Following our consultation on the draft recommendations for Oxford, I am writing to inform you of the Commission's decision to hold a period of further limited consultation prior to publication of its final recommendations. This will involve our proposals for wards in the southern part of east Oxford. The Commission believes it has received sufficient evidence in relation to the rest of the city. Therefore, this period of further limited consultation focuses on south east Oxford.

During consultation, we received more than 150 representations, which included alternative proposals for this part of the city. None of the submissions supported our draft recommendations. Many respondents provided a great deal of evidence describing their community to substantiate their opposition to our proposals. Below is a summary of our revised proposals.

Cowley, Donnington & Iffley, Littlemore, Rose Hill, St Clement's, St Mary's and Temple Cowley

We received 63 submissions that referred to one of these wards. These included alternative proposals for the whole area from Oxford East Green Party ('the Greens') and Oxford Conservative Association and Oxford West & Abingdon Conservative Association ('the Conservatives'). The Greens' proposal was supported by Oxford City Council Liberal Democrat Group and the Oxford City Branch of Oxford West & Abingdon Liberal Democrats ('the Liberal Democrats'). However, the Conservatives' proposal was supported by relatively little evidence and, when we analysed it, contained two wards with poor electoral equality. The Council and Oxford & District Labour Party ('the Labour Party') made similar submissions that proposed minor amendments to Cowley, Donnington, St Clement's and Temple Cowley wards.

A significant number of submissions related to three issues: our proposals for Bartlemas, Donnington and St Clement's wards; the proposed boundary in the Florence Park area

between Cowley and Temple Cowley wards; and the Council and the Labour Party's proposal to rename our St Clement's ward 'Bannister'.

Firstly, all four political parties, the Council, several local organisations and approximately ten residents objected to our proposals in the Florence Park area. They argued that the Clive Road area of Florence Park, which had been placed in Temple Cowley ward, had little connection with the rest of Temple Cowley. Our boundary on Rymers Lane was not a natural one, whereas the current ward boundary to the east on Oxford Road was a natural divide between communities.

We consider that strong community evidence has been provided to place the boundary on Oxford Road and also note that this is supported by the Council and all four political parties. We propose to amend our draft recommendations accordingly.

There were approximately 25 objections to the draft recommendations for Bartlemas, Donnington and St Clement's wards. The objectors argued that the proposals split streets or groups of streets, such as Charles Street, Howard Street and Percy Street, that are part of the same community. The proposals also used illogical or confusing boundaries that were hard for residents to understand. The Greens argued that the draft recommendations split between wards the areas covered by five residents' associations, two neighbourhood watch areas and four controlled parking zones.

There was some support for the draft recommendations from the Labour Party, which pointed out that Cowley Road is the centre of a succession of communities and our proposals reflected this. They also expressed concern at the size and number of communities contained in the Greens' Donnington & Iffley ward.

Having visited the area twice and considered all the submissions, our conclusion is that the Greens' submission best reflects the evidence that we have received. In particular, their St Clement's and Bannister wards use boundaries that appear logical on the ground and are supported by residents' submissions. While the Donnington & Iffley ward does contain several communities, we did receive some evidence of links between Donnington and Iffley Village.

We note that the Greens proposed to name one of their wards 'Bannister'. Our approach is that ward names generally should, as accurately and succinctly as possible, describe the area concerned. The ward's name should also be recognisable to as many residents in the ward as possible. While we appreciate the desire to honour the likes of Sir Roger Bannister, the primary purpose of a ward name is to describe the communities living in the ward.

We are not persuaded that this was achieved by the alternative name put forward. Instead, we have named this ward 'St Mary's' as it is reasonably similar to the current ward of the same name. However, we would welcome alternative ward names supported by evidence as part of this consultation.

A summary of the wards we propose in south east Oxford is shown in the table below:

Ward Name	No. of Councillors	Electorate 2017	Variance 2017	Electorate 2023	Variance 2023
Cowley	2	4,671	3%	4,941	2%
Donnington & Iffley	2	4,561	1%	4,561	-6%
Littlemore	2	3,952	-13%	4,690	-3%
Rose Hill	2	5,028	11%	5,201	8%
St Clement's	2	4,465	-1%	4,465	-8%
St Mary's	2	5,042	11%	5,042	4%
Temple Cowley	2	4,827	7%	5,037	4%

Although the Commission supports these revised wards, the proposed pattern is markedly different from that proposed in our draft recommendations. Accordingly, the Commission has decided there should be a period of further limited consultation to seek the views of residents and local groups as to whether we should adopt the alternative warding pattern instead of the draft recommendations.

Our revised recommendations can be seen on the Commission's website at http://www.lgbce.org.uk/all-reviews/south-east/oxfordshire/oxford

This period of further limited consultation commences today, **6 November 2018**, and closes on **4 December 2018**. During this period, the Commission welcomes comments and supporting evidence on its revised recommendations for south east Oxford.

The Commission's final recommendations for Oxford will now be published on **5 February 2019**.

The Commission would like to hear whether people support its proposed changes and the reasons for their views. We therefore encourage those who have a view on these revised proposals to write to us, whether they agree with them or not.

All representations received during the consultation period will be taken into account and the Commission will then consider the evidence received prior to the publication of its final recommendations.

Representations should be made in writing to reviews@lgbce.org.uk or:

Review Officer (Oxford)
Local Government Boundary Commission for England
1st Floor, Windsor House
50 Victoria Street
London SW1H 0TL

Following the conclusion of this further consultation, the Commission will consider any further evidence received and prepare its final recommendations for Oxford.

If you have any queries, please contact reviews@lgbce.org.uk or 0330 500 1525.

Yours sincerely,



Jolyon Jackson CBE
Chief Executive
reviews@lgbce.org.uk
0330 500 1525

DistrictRef	Current Ward	LGBCE Second Proposals	Second Response Option	Change in Response?	StreetName	Jul17Electors
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Alan Bullock Close	26
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Alma Place	72
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Bath Street	79
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Boulter Street	76
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Caroline Street	2
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Cave Street	51
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Chapel Street	15
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Cherwell Street	100
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Collins Street	10
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Cowley Road	98
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Cross Street	82
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Dawson Street	32
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Dudley Gardens	4
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Glebe Street	50
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Jeune Street	93
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Little Brewery Street	27
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	London Place	66
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Marston Road	21
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Morrell Avenue	327
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Parsons Place	84
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Penson`s Gardens	0
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Princes Street	142
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Rectory Road	171
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	St Clement`s Street	343
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Stone Street	16
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Tawney Street	66
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	The Plain	3
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Tyndale Road	43
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Union Street	93
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Wilson Place	3
JA	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	York Place	62
JB	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Ablett Close	56
JB	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Bartlemas Road	124
JB	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Cosin Close	60
JB	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Cowley Road	189
JB	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Divinity Road	440
JB	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	East Avenue	254
JB	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Hill Top Road	151
JB	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Manzil Way	36
JB	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Minster Road	99
JB	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Nye Bevan Close	141
JB	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Southfield Road	345
JB	St. Clement`s	St Clements	St Clements	no	Warneford Road	59
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Alhambra Lane	2
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Aston Street	72
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Bannister Close	47
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Bramwell Place	19
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Bullingdon Road	355
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Circus Street	37
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Cowley Place	180

DistrictRef	Current Ward	LGBCE Second Proposals	Second Response Option	Change in Response?	StreetName	Jul17Electors
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Cowley Road (Plain to Bullingdon Road)	108
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Cowley Road (Bullingdon to Leopold)	108
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Crown Street	41
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Denmark Street	46
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Galpin Close	11
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Green Street	40
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Hawkins Street	38
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Henley Street	137
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Hurst Street (E of Bullingdon Rd)	154
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Hurst Street (W of Bullingdon Rd)	77
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Iffley Road (Plain to Bullingdon Rd) - North side	144
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Iffley Road (Plain to Bullingdon Rd) - South side	144
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Iffley Road (Bullingdon Rd to Aston St)	96
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	James Street	205
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Leon Close	95
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Leopold Street	77
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Marston Street	184
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Moberly Close	11
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Randolph Street	108
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Regent Street	139
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	St Mary`s Road (E of Bullingdon Rd)	129
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	St Mary`s Road (W of Bullingdon Rd)	64
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Stockmore Street	89
KA	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Temple Street	54
KB	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Aston Street	76
KB	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Cowley Road	7
KB	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Hurst Street	117
KB	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Iffley Road (Aston to Magdalen)	108
KB	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Magdalen Road 68-108 (west of Catherine Street, 62-28 (west of Hurst Street)	104
KB	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Magdalen Road 1-27 (east of Hurst Street), 109-157 (east of Catherine Street)	174
KB	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	St Mary`s Road	89
KB	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Stanley Road	132
LA	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Addison Crescent	70
LA	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Arnold Road	14
LA	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Donnington Bridge Road	98
LA	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Iffley Road (Magdalen to Howard)	78
LA	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Iffley Road (E of Howard St)	39
LA	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Meadow Lane	41
LA	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Swinburne Road	173
LB	Iffley Fields	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Argyle Street	154
LB	Iffley Fields	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Bedford Street	73
LB	Iffley Fields	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Chester Street	65
LB	Iffley Fields	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Daubeny Road	13

DistrictRef	Current Ward	LGBCE Second Proposals	Second Response Option	Change in Response?	StreetName	Jul17Electors
LB	Iffley Fields	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Eyot Place	24
LB	Iffley Fields	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Fairacres	22
LB	Iffley Fields	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Fairacres Road	244
LB	Iffley Fields	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Iffley Road	180
LB	Iffley Fields	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Meadow Lane	11
LB	Iffley Fields	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Parker Street	58
LB	Iffley Fields	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Stratford Street	152
LB	Iffley Fields	St. Mary`s	St. Mary`s	no	Warwick Street	214
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Barnet Street	20
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Boundary Brook Road	147
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Catherine Street	91
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Charles Street 1-67 (odd) 2-88 (even)	137
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Charles Street 87-123 (odd) 90-120 (even)	55
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Cricket Road	37
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Drove Acre Road	28
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Essex Street	120
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	George Moore Close	80
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Golden Road	43
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Harold Hicks Place	18
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Hertford Street	70
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Howard Street 92-2 (even)	164
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Howard Street 91-199 (odd) 202-90 (even)	227
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Iffley Road	60
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Leys Place	18
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Percy Street 1-45, 71-106	152
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Percy Street 46-69	41
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Pipkin Way	66
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Quartermaine Close	10
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Ridgefield Road	146
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Sidney Street	65
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Silver Road	57
LC	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Whitson Place	16
LD	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Arnold Road	57
LD	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Cornwallis Road	1
LD	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Donnington Bridge Road	78
LD	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Freelands Road	193
LD	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Iffley Road	48
LD	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Meadow Lane	41
LD	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Nixon Road	15
LD	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Radcliffe Road	57
LD	Iffley Fields	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Townsend Square	67
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Abberbury Avenue	24
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Abberbury Road	66
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Anne Greenwood Close	107
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Annora Close	5
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Aubrey Court	30
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Augustine Way	35
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Bay Tree Close	23
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Bears Hedge	39

DistrictRef	Current Ward	LGBCE Second Proposals	Second Response Option	Change in Response?	StreetName	Jul17Electors
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Cavell Road	63
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Church Way	147
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Cordrey Green	23
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Eastchurch	4
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Fitzherbert Close	23
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Iffley Road	13
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Iffley Turn	54
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Krebs Gardens	12
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Maywood Road	50
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Meadow Lane	66
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Mill Lane	31
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Sheepway Court	40
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Stone Quarry Lane	25
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Tree Lane	110
MA	Rose Hill and Iffley	Donnington and Iffley	Rose Hill	yes	Wootten Drive	15
MB	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Annesley Road	109
MB	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Court Place Gardens	44
MB	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Court Place Gardens, Iffley Village	173
MB	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Courtland Road	175
MB	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Egerton Road	34
MB	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Ellesmere Road	31
MB	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Henley Avenue	82
MB	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Hunsdon Road	63
MB	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Iffley Turn	25
MB	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Rose Hill	104
MB	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Villiers Lane	10
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Ashhurst Way	178
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Ashhurst Way	178
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Asquith Road	227
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Clinton Close	52
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Constance Norman Way	10
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Cottesmore Road	57
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Court Farm Road	38
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Court Farm Road	38
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Danvers Road	54
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Dashwood Road	8
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Dashwood Road	8
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Desborough Crescent	19
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Desborough Crescent	19
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Devereux Place	29
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Fiennes Road	29
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Jersey Road	64
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	John Parker Close	7
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Lambourn Road	116
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Lenthall Road	69
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Mortimer Road	53
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Mortimer Road	53
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Newlin Close	23
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Norman Brown Close	14
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Nowell Road	192

DistrictRef	Current Ward	LGBCE Second Proposals	Second Response Option	Change in Response?	StreetName	Jul17Electors
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Oxford Road	52
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Pattison Place	16
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Radford Close	17
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Rivermead Road	140
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Rivermead Road	140
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Rowney Place	13
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Spencer Crescent	11
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Spencer Crescent	11
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	St Martin`s Road	113
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Thames View Road	76
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	The Oval	26
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Williamson Way	149
MC	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Wynbush Road	89
MD	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Church Hill Road	132
MD	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Rose Hill	207
MD	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Westbury Crescent	207
MD	Rose Hill and Iffley	Rose Hill	Rose Hill	no	Wykeham Crescent	90
NA	Littlemore	Littlemore	Cowley	yes	Addison Drive	25
NA	Littlemore	Littlemore	Cowley	yes	Bodley Road	154
NA	Littlemore	Rose Hill	Littlemore	yes	Cardinal Close	106
NA	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Cowley Road	120
NA	Littlemore	Rose Hill	Littlemore	yes	Eastern Avenue	91
NA	Littlemore	Rose Hill	Littlemore	yes	Fairlie Road	32
NA	Littlemore	Littlemore	Cowley	yes	Goodey Close	21
NA	Littlemore	Littlemore	Cowley	yes	Herschel Crescent	419
NA	Littlemore	Rose Hill	Littlemore	yes	Hillsborough Close	32
NA	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Long Lane	125
NA	Littlemore	Rose Hill	Littlemore	yes	Newman Road	43
NA	Littlemore	Littlemore	Cowley	yes	Orchard Way	12
NA	Littlemore	Rose Hill	Littlemore	yes	Oxford Road	39
NA	Littlemore	Littlemore	Cowley	yes	Rahere Road	19
NA	Littlemore	Littlemore	Cowley	yes	Sheldon Way	24
NA	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Van Diemans Lane	155
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Astrop Lane	29
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Brocklesby Road	33
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Chapel Lane	35
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	David Nicholls Close	26
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Dudgeon Drive	48
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Gwyneth Road	82
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Kempson Crescent	48
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Sandford Road, Land North Littlemore Mental Health Centre,	252
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Lanham Way	16
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Lawn Upton Close	33
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Littlemore Park, Armstrong Road	486
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Mandelbrote Drive	241
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Marlborough Close	88
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Medhurst Way	107
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Milvery Way	2
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Morrell Crescent	28

DistrictRef	Current Ward	LGBCE Second Proposals	Second Response Option	Change in Response?	StreetName	Jul17Electors
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Oxford Road	111
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Railway Lane	11
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Sandford Road	96
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Swinbourne Road	48
NB	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Thomson Terrace	78
NC	Littlemore	Rose Hill	Littlemore	yes	Compass Close	21
NC	Littlemore	Rose Hill	Littlemore	yes	Fairlie Road	46
NC	Littlemore	Rose Hill	Littlemore	yes	Hillsborough Road	62
NC	Littlemore	Rose Hill	Littlemore	yes	Kelburne Road	170
NC	Littlemore	Rose Hill	Littlemore	yes	Littlemore Road	106
NC	Littlemore	Rose Hill	Littlemore	yes	Mayfair Road	132
NC	Littlemore	Rose Hill	Littlemore	yes	Pulker Close	26
NC	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Rahere Road	22
NC	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Van Diemans Lane	4
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Alice Smith Square	145
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Bampton Close	53
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Barberi Close	33
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Blewitt Court	13
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Broadfields	67
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Carpenter Close	33
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Champion Way	94
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	College Lane	38
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Cowley Road	97
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Denny Gardens	59
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Elm Tree Close	14
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Faber Close	16
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Giles Close	20
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Giles Road	119
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Grange Road	49
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Hardings Close	21
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Hillsale Piece	28
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Lakefield Road	71
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Longwall	18
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Minchery Farm Cottages	12
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Minchery Road	171
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Newman Road	27
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Northfield Close	51
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Pipley Furlong	37
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Priory Road	131
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Redmoor Close	27
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Sandy Lane West	6
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Spring Lane	71
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	St Mary`s Close	10
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	St Nicholas Road	140
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Upton Close	17
ND	Littlemore	Littlemore	Littlemore	no	Vicarage Close	49
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Campbell Road	403
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Church Cowley Road	169
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Cleveland Drive	44
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Clive Road	127

DistrictRef	Current Ward	LGBCE Second Proposals	Second Response Option	Change in Response?	StreetName	Jul17Electors
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Cornwallis Close	33
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Cornwallis Road	291
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Eleanor Close	17
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Florence Park Road	76
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Gerard Place	35
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Havelock Road	52
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Henley Avenue	8
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Kames Close	13
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Lawrence Road	19
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Littlehay Road	120
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Lytton Road	188
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Maidcroft Road	120
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Outram Road	45
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Rymers Lane	135
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	St Omer Road	76
QA	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Trevor Place	24
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Amory Close	54
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Bailey Road	130
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Barns Road	428
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Bartholomew Road	195
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Beauchamp Lane	56
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Beauchamp Place	62
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Between Towns Road	57
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Boswell Road	190
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Burgan Close	34
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Cholsey Close	28
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Coleridge Close	22
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Cowley Centre / Templars Square	270
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Crowell Road	102
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Dodgson Road	94
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Frederick Road	35
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Gaisford Road	97
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Temple Cowley	yes	Garsington Road	27
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Hampden Road	43
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Hockmore Street	18
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Kersington Crescent	100
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Knolles Road	32
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Liddell Road	109
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Lockheart Crescent	74
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Temple Cowley	yes	Napier Road	126
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Oxford Road	49
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Temple Cowley	yes	Phipps Road	136
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Pound Way	105
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Temple Cowley	yes	St Luke`s Road	124
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	The Grates	134
QB	Cowley	Cowley	Cowley	no	Upper Barr	13
RA	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Donnington	yes	Barracks Lane	35
RA	Cowley Marsh	St Clements	St Clements	no	Bartlemas Close	245
RA	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Donnington	yes	Belvedere Road	56
RA	Cowley Marsh	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Bhandari Close	39

DistrictRef	Current Ward	LGBCE Second Proposals	Second Response Option	Change in Response?	StreetName	Jul17Electors
RA	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Donnington	yes	Canterbury House and adjacent land	124
RA	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Donnington	yes	Catwell Close	32
RA	Cowley Marsh	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Cowley Road Section added to St Clements	30
RA	Cowley Marsh	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Cowley Road Section added to Cricket	285
RA	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Donnington	yes	Cowley Road Section remaining in Temple Cowley	148
RA	Cowley Marsh	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Cricket Road (W of Ridgefield)	174
RA	Cowley Marsh	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Cricket Road (E of Ridgefield)	87
RA	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Donnington	yes	Cumberland Road	111
RA	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Donnington	yes	Don Stuart Place	26
RA	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Gillians Way	57
RA	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Donnington	yes	Glanville Road	162
RA	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Donnington	yes	Herbert Close	54
RA	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Donnington	yes	Kenilworth Avenue	95
RA	Cowley Marsh	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Milton Road	83
RA	Cowley Marsh	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Morris Crescent	34
RA	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Reliance Way	320
RA	Cowley Marsh	Donnington and Iffley	Donnington	yes	Ridgefield Road	135
RA	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Saunders Road	115
RA	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Donnington	yes	Shelley Road	69
RA	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Donnington	yes	Westfield Close	43
RA	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Donnington	yes	Weymann Terrace	23
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Badger`s Walk	65
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Barracks Lane	21
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Bennett Crescent	171
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Beresford Place	27
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Crescent Close	25
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Crescent Road	484
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Don Bosco Close	78
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Edmund Road	68
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Hendred Street	101
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Hollow Way	78
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Junction Road	45
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Kirby Place	33
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Leafield Road	101
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Marsh Road	63
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Owens Way	172
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Oxford Road	357
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Purland Close	8
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Salegate Lane	36
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Silkdale Close	98
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	St Christopher Place	35
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Temple Cowley Pools	86
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Temple Road	210
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Turner Close	100
RB	Cowley Marsh	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	William Morris Close	37
SC	Lye Valley	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Bleache Place	43
SC	Lye Valley	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Burbush Road	8
SC	Lye Valley	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Fern Hill Road	324
SC	Lye Valley	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Hollow Way	76

DistrictRef	Current Ward	LGBCE Second Proposals	Second Response Option	Change in Response?	StreetName	Jul17Electors
SC	Lye Valley	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Ivy Close	15
SC	Lye Valley	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Marshall Road	92
SC	Lye Valley	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Oliver Road	251
SC	Lye Valley	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Sunnyside	38
SC	Lye Valley	Temple Cowley	Temple Cowley	no	Wilkins Road	202

This page is intentionally left blank

Minutes of a meeting of the CITY EXECUTIVE BOARD on Wednesday 14 November 2018

www.oxford.gov.uk
OXFORD CITY COUNCIL

Committee members:

Councillor Brown (Chair)
Councillor Turner
Councillor Clarkson
Councillor Hollingsworth
Councillor Simm
Councillor Linda Smith (Deputy Leader)
Councillor Chapman
Councillor Hayes
Councillor Rowley
Councillor Upton

Officers:

Gordon Mitchell, Chief Executive
Tim Sadler, Executive Director Sustainable City
Caroline Green, Assistant Chief Executive
Anita Bradley, Monitoring Officer
Nigel Kennedy, Head of Financial Services
Paul Adams, HR & Payroll Manager
Helen Bishop, Head of Business Improvement
John Mitchell, Committee and Member Services Officer

Also present:

Councillor Shaista Aziz
Councillor Nadine Bely-Summers
Councillor Andrew Gant
Councillor Richard Howlett
Councillor Craig Simmons

Apologies:

No apologies were received

87. Declarations of Interest

None.

88. Addresses and Questions by Members of the Public

David Thomas addressed the Board about the Scrutiny Committee's No Local Connection review group report, arguing that there was no reason in his view why the report's recommendations should result in an increase in the number of rough sleepers coming to Oxford.

Jackie and Hayley addressed the Board about their experiences of having been homeless and rough sleeping in Oxford, in part as a consequence of having no local connection. They highlighted some areas where they felt the accommodation provision in the City could improve. The Chair thanked them for their powerful contributions.

89. Councillor Addresses on any item for decision on the Board's agenda

No Local Connection Review Group Report (item 7 on the agenda)

Councillors Aziz, Simmons and Howlett, all of whom had served on the review group, spoke in support of the report's recommendations. There was gratitude that the majority of recommendations had been accepted but disappointment that not all had been. They drew attention to a number of matters referred to in the report including but not limited to:

- the risks to health and wellbeing faced by those living rough (particularly women)
- what was perceived as a failure to have grasped the "essence" of the report and the need to treat those who experience homelessness as individuals not a group
- *Shelter'*s differing interpretation of the local connection legislation
- the lost opportunity of the decision not to trial some suggestions
- the view of *Crisis* that the present arrangements in relation to no local connection are a failure and its willingness to support securing funds for a piloted change to the Local Connection Policy
- that the Council should determine what it wishes to achieve and then find the resource to achieve it, not let its policy be driven by the availability of the resource
- The effectiveness of the present Adult Homeless Pathway was questioned and the fact that some rough sleepers regard the temporary provision available to them as being more dangerous than going without.

Oxford North (item 9 on the agenda)

Councillor Gant expressed some disquiet about the order in which decisions about this major development were having to be made, driven, it seemed, by the requirements of the Homes England Housing Infrastructure Funding mechanism. It would have been preferable to have a better idea of infrastructure and housing proposals first. Such details as there were suggested that there was a long way to go to achieve a plan that properly met the needs of the community.

90. Councillor Addresses on Neighbourhood Issues

None.

91. Items raised by Board Members

None.

92. Scrutiny Committee Reports

No Local Connection Review Group Report

Councillor Bely-Summers, as Chair of the review group, gave a brief introduction, thanking all those Councillors, people with experience of homelessness and officers who had contributed to it. The report was dedicated to the support of some of the most vulnerable members of the community and especially women. Since austerity took hold a decade ago homelessness had increased in the UK by 169%, and by 400% in Oxford in half that time. In Oxford there had been an increase in the number of people sleeping rough with no local connection, with 69% of all people counted in 2017 having no local connection and so no place to live. The report's 25 recommendations flowed from a strong evidence base, drawn from a wide range of sources. She hoped that implementation of its recommendations would make a tangible difference. Councillors should be providing political leadership and direction and this was an opportunity to do that.

Councillor Bely Summers gave particular thanks to CEB to accept recommendation 1a concerning offering a local connection to any person who has slept rough or 'sofa surfed' in Oxford for a continuous period in excess of 6 months. She also welcomed CEB's response to recommendations 8 and 12 which offered to bring forward additional data concerning the Adult Homeless Pathway and a report from the Outreach Team to the Housing Panel. She welcomed the prospect of receiving an update to the Scrutiny Committee on progress against the recommendations in 12 months' time.

The Chair thanked Councillor Bely-Summers, on behalf of CEB, for the work of the group and for having enabled so many to contribute to it.

Councillor Gant addressed the Board on behalf of the Scrutiny Committee as a whole. In relation to the review group's report he noted the following points among others:

- The Committee had received a report from officers responding to the recommendations in advance of its meeting. It was useful (if unusual) to have received this advice, but it had not been commissioned by the Committee.
- The officer advice contained opinion on legal questions which differed from the legal opinion of other organisations in some respects.
- In agreeing that the report should go forward to CEB the Scrutiny committee was not taking a view on legal questions: the Committee did not seek legal opinion of its own. It resolved, rather, that the proper place for those questions to be addressed was at CEB
- There was some intense and effective discussion both supporting and questioning aspects of the report, and that the resolution to adopt it to go forward to CEB was taken by a clear majority, but not unanimously.
- This was a thorough discussion around a detailed and well-evidenced report which takes a clear view on how the Council should approach an issue of fundamental importance. It was a good example of Members, officers, other stakeholders and the public engaging with the Scrutiny process in a very constructive way.

Councillor Linda Smith, as portfolio holder, responded on behalf of the Board. She thanked the group for its work and, in particular, the contributions made at the meeting by Jackie and Hayley. She was pleased to be able to confirm support for the majority of the recommendations. She hoped that the changes would provide greater clarity and confidence about how the rules around Local Connection are put into practice and improve service in a number of related areas. She was proposing support for the recommendation that the Council explores opportunities to extend provision which uses the 'Housing First' approach and that the Council looks at Critical Time Intervention programmes. It was important to review and adapt homelessness services continually, learning from best practice both in Oxford and further afield.

She proposed to accept the recommendation about calling on the County Council, neighbouring Councils and the Government to increase funding for homelessness services. The Council had prioritised funding in this area and successfully bid for government funding but this was time limited and assurances were needed about longer term funding.

While she agreed that the Local Connection guidelines were flawed, reform had to be made at a national level and it would be wrong to change them unilaterally: people with a connection to another authority should have their costs met by that authority; and people without a local connection have few options when it came to moving on out of the pathway which would only serve to block it.

She was not in a position to make any changes to the Housing Allocations Scheme at this meeting; to do so would require public consultation and agreement of the registered social landlords with whom the Council works in partnership.

The Council's eligibility criteria for having a local connection in relation to the housing register and being eligible for council or social housing were relaxed (6 months) compared with government guidelines (two years). Any further relaxation would raise questions of fairness, thinking of the 2,100 applicants with a local connection, as defined under the current policy, waiting for a home.

While not all the recommendations had been accepted it was important to be clear that the Council is not content for anyone to be sleeping on the streets and it was not the case that those without a local connection were denied help. There would, for example, be 74 bed spaces available in the coming Winter for those without a local connection. Day services were also supported by the Council to provide hot meals, showers and clothes. An outreach team could provide practical assistance to help with access to accommodation, benefits or a job or to reconnect to their home area where they can access long term support.

The report and officer comments made clear that the use of exemptions is important when it comes to operation of the local connection policy. It was important not to fetter the ability of officers to be able to use their professional judgment, on a case by case basis, in making good decisions about the application of the policy.

She concluded by paying tribute to the work of the housing services team who work on rough sleeping and homelessness for the excellent work that they do.

In the subsequent Board discussion there was recognition that the extent of the homelessness issue was largely attributable to a number of Government policies and decisions in recent years. The Chair recognised the importance of lobbying, both locally and nationally, for adequate funding; something which already happened and would continue. The importance of not taking unilateral action as referred to above was repeated. The testimony given to the meeting gave some pause for thought, raising questions about whether the service currently provided for rough sleepers might be better in some respects. It was right that decisions about these matters should be informed by the views of those immediately affected by them. There was appreciation that homelessness was a significant contributor to poor health outcomes.

CEB agreed to accept the recommendations and draft response provided by the Board Member for Housing and Leisure, and thanked all those who contributed to the report. In response to final question from Councillor Simmons, it was clarified that changes would be sought to the Oxfordshire Joint Operational Protocol, as a result of the accepted recommendations.

Work Place Equalities Report and Action Plan

Councillor Gant thanked Councillor Chapman for his contribution to the Committee's thorough debate about the report, something which had been enhanced by virtue of his previous role on Scrutiny Committee when he had challenged the adequacy of some of the data and plans to improve areas of weakness. The Committee had been pleased to note some improving trends in parallel with concerns at the levels of recruitment from members of the BAME community and women to senior positions. He thanked the Board for its agreement to the Committee's recommendations.

93. Workplace Equalities Report and Action Plan

The Head of Business Improvement had submitted a report to seek approval for the publication of the Annual Workforce Equalities Report and the resulting Action Plan to improve the diversity of the Council's workforce and make it more representative.

Councillor Chapman, as portfolio holder, introduced the report. He was thankful to the Scrutiny Committee for a constructive conversation about something which, in his view, was a matter of social justice. To ensure that the service provided by the Council meets the needs of the community, the workforce should reflect the makeup of the community; at the moment it does not. Once members of under-represented groups were recruited they needed to be nurtured and given opportunities to build their careers over time. He commended the action plan attached to the report to the Board. The plan would not transform the position overnight but represented an important start.

The Head of Business Improvement said the report and associated action plan had been built on a more thorough understanding of local demography than previously. A more representative workforce had many benefits for the organisation, making it more creative, relevant and better placed to respond to the community's needs. It would enable the Council to tap into parts of the labour market which currently it did not. Areas of particular weakness were the small number of colleagues from the BAME community, particularly at higher grades and the low number of women in senior positions.

The Chair noted the importance of unconscious bias training for officers and members. The Head of Business Improvement said that the principal method of delivery of this for officers would be online. It was acknowledged that this might not always be the most effective method given the nature of the subject but there would be other opportunities for staff to discuss and thoroughly engage with this important matter. This was something which had already been considered and an Equalities Week in early January has been scheduled. The first event would be "Let's Talk Equalities" a briefing available to all staff and during the week training for all staff and managers will be launched. There will also be presentations on diversity and interactive workshops, all designed to engage staff on a face to face basis. It was suggested that there was merit in offering this training to Fusion Lifestyle for their employees too.

The shortfall and gaps in data about the current workforce's protected characteristics were being addressed by reminding staff about the value of this data and encouraging them to respond if they had not already done so. The trade unions were also supportive of this.

The Head of Business Improvement said she would return with a further report in a year's time.

The City Executive Board resolved to:

1. **Approve** the Workforce Equalities Report 2018/19;
2. **Delegate** authority to the Head of Business Improvement to publish the Workforce Equalities Report 2018/19 and to make any typographical changes as may be required before publication; and
3. **Approve** the Action Plan at Appendix 4 of this report for submission as part of the 2019/20 budget setting process.

94. Oxford North

The Regeneration and Economy Programme Director and Head of Planning Services had submitted a report to request an in-principle decision to use Homes England Housing Infrastructure (Marginal Viability) Funding (HIF) and CIL funds for infrastructure support at Northern Gateway/Oxford North.

The Chair introduced the report reminding those Members who might become involved in the subsequent planning decisions that they should not put themselves in the position of pre-judging any aspect of the proposals. She had made it clear that she would play no part in the planning process and noted that the scheme should be for the public good and must deliver appropriate social and other housing.

The City Executive Board resolved to:

1. Identify the Northern Gateway/Oxford North Housing Infrastructure Funding HIF and Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) schemes as potential future Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) capital schemes with a provisional budget allocation (subject to receipt of external HIF and CIL receipts) of up to £18.85m;

2. Delegate authority to the Regeneration and Economy Programme Director to agree a contract with Homes England to draw down funds and secure their use/implementation in agreed infrastructure projects (subject to the £10m HIF funding to Oxford North being secured);
3. Recommend to Council to apply Community Infrastructure Levy receipts to the value of £ 8.85m (as generated from future strategic scale development at Northern Gateway/Oxford North) in order to fund investment in highways/transport infrastructure provision to support the delivery of the Northern Gateway strategic site allocation; and
4. Delegate to the Head of Planning Services authority to secure the completion of an appropriate legal agreement for this funding investment, prepared in conjunction with the Council's Monitoring and Section 151 Officers. The legal agreement to cover the specific infrastructure projects to be funded and arrangements for their procurement, delivery and adoption by the local authorities, and to be subject to the outcome of due consideration of necessary and contingent planning application(s) and associated processes.

95. Minutes

The Board resolved to APROVE the minutes of the meeting held on 16 October 2018 as true and accurate record subject to a change to the declarations of interest (Minute 75) which should record the Jericho Community Centre and not the Jericho Boatyard.

96. Dates of Future Meetings

Meetings are scheduled for the following dates:

29 November 2018
18 December 2018
22 January 2019
12 February 2019
13 March 2019
10 April 2019

The Board resolved that these meetings should start at 6pm rather than 5pm.

97. Matters Exempt from Publication

No exempt matters were considered .

The meeting started at 5.00 pm and ended at 6.40 pm

Chair …….. **Date: Thursday 29 November 2018**

This page is intentionally left blank